UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,228	06/08/2006	Yukio Miyagawa	2006_0634A	3356
513 7590 01/10/2008			EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021		<i>,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	MAHONEY, CHRISTOPHER E	
		ı .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · ·			2862	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		0/+			
5,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/577,228	MIYAGAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher E. Mahoney	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriate and the second sec	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY AND A STATE OF T	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<b>⊸</b>	·			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
·	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	l <b>.</b>				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	٠.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 13</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 14-19</u> is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2006 is/are: a	)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del>-</del> · ·	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached O	nice Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
<ol> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not rec	eivea.			
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/26/06</u> .	6) Other:				

10/577,228 Art Unit: 2862

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# **Double Patenting**

Claims 1-19 of this application conflict with claim1-26 of Application No. 11/585,191.

37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10/577,228 Art Unit: 2862

Claims 1-19 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 11/585191. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the conflicting claims anticipate or render obvious the currently pending claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 recites "one ends" and "other ends" in a manner that does not seem to conform with proper english. It appears that it might be a direct translation from another language. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP5-94837. JP5-94837 teaches a portable screen assembly, comprising: a casing 2 having an opening extending in a longitudinal direction on the upper surface thereof and formed by a first and second case members that extend in the longitudinal direction and are separable from each other;

Art Unit: 2862

a spring-biased roll 5 rotatably mounted to the casing; a screen 6 wound around the spring-biased roll in storage and pulled out from the opening in use; a top bar 7/8 secured to one end of the screen and used also as a cover to close the opening in storage; and an extendable column 16/17 having one end supported at a center portion of the side face of the casing and holding the pulled out screen in a stretched state. The applicant is directed to review figures 1, 2 and 5-9.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-12 and 14-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher E. Mahoney whose telephone number is (571) 272-2122. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Assouad can be reached on (571) 272-2210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/577,228 Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher E Mahoney

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862